

Environmental Health Research Recommendations from the Inter-Environmental Health Sciences Core Center Working Group on Unconventional Natural Gas Drilling Operations

Trevor M. Penning, Patrick N. Breysse, Kathleen Gray, Marilyn Howarth, and Beizhan Yan

http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408207

Received: 31 January 2014 Accepted: 16 July 2014

Advance Publication: 18 July 2014



Environmental Health Research Recommendations from the Inter-Environmental Health Sciences Core Center Working Group on Unconventional Natural Gas Drilling Operations

Trevor M. Penning, Patrick N. Breysse, Kathleen Gray, Marilyn Howarth, and Beizhan Yan⁴

¹Center of Excellence in Environmental Toxicology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ²Division of Environmental Health Engineering, Department of Environmental Health Sciences, The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA; ³Center for Environmental Health and Susceptibility, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA; ⁴Lamont-Doherty, Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, New York, USA

Address correspondence to Trevor M. Penning, Department of Pharmacology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, 1315 BRBII/III, 421 Curie Blvd., Philadelphia, PA 19104-6061 USA. Telephone: 215-898-9445. E-mail: penning@upenn.edu

Running title: Research needs on hydraulic fracturing

Acknowledgments: For a complete membership of the Inter-Environmental Health Sciences

Core Center (EHSCC) working group see Appendix 1. The working group is supported by grants

from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) as follows: P30
ES013508 (TMP & MH); P30-ES010126 (KG); P30-ES003819 (PNB); P30-ES009089 (BY);

P30-ES000002 (Harvard University); P30-ES000210 (Oregon State University); P30-ES000260

(New York University); P30-ES005022 (Rutgers University); P30-ES006096 (University of Cincinnati); P30-ES005605 (University of Iowa); P30-ES001247 (University of Rochester);

P30-ES007048 (University of Southern California); P30-ES006676 (University of Texas Medical Branch); P30-ES007033 (University of Washington) and P30 ES004184 (University of Wisconsin -Milwaukee). The authors thank Dr. Leslie Reinlib and Mr. Liam O'Fallon from the NIEHS for their suggestions. The contents of this article are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIEHS or the NIH.

Competing financial interests: One of the authors (TP) has given expert testimony in methyltert-butyl-ether products liability litigation. The other authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Abstract

Background: Unconventional natural gas drilling operations (UNGDO) (which includes hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling) supply an energy source which is potentially cleaner than liquid or solid fossil-fuels and may provide a route to energy independence. However, significant concerns have arisen due to the lack of research on the public health impact of UNGDO.

Objectives: Environmental Health Sciences Core Centers (EHSCCs) funded by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) formed a working group to review the literature on the potential public health impact of UNGDO and to make recommendations for needed research.

Discussion: The Inter-EHSCC Working Group concluded that a potential for water and air pollution exists which might endanger public health, and that the social fabric of communities could be impacted by the rapid emergence of drilling operations. The working group recommends research to inform how potential risks could be mitigated.

Conclusions: Exposure and health outcomes research related to UNGDO is urgently needed and community engagement is essential in the design of such studies.

Introduction

Unconventional natural gas drilling operations (UNGDO) (which includes the process of hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling) in tight shale formations to extract natural gas creates jobs, provides a potential route to energy independence, and may increase national security through less dependency on foreign oil (Global Insight 2011). The burning of natural gas produces less nitrogen oxides and carbon dioxide than the burning of coal or oil and produces negligible amounts of sulfur dioxide and mercury and thus is a cleaner fossil fuel (see, United States Environmental Protection Agency (US-EPA) Clean-Energy-Gas http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/energy-and-you/affect/natural-gas.html and US-EPA Clean-Energy-Coal http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/energy-and-you/affect/coal.html). Concurrently, concerns have been raised about the environmental and public health impacts of UNGDO (Union of Concerned Scientists 2013). The industry describes the technology as being well-established and safe (American Petroleum Institute 2014). By contrast some advocacy groups have serious environmental health concerns and suggest that that a moratorium on UNGDO should exist until we learn more (e.g. Physicians for Social Responsibility 2012).

UNGDO have concentrated where large formations of shale exist e.g., Barnett Shale in Texas, Utica Shale in Ohio, and the Marcellus Shale in PA (United States Geological Survey (USGS), 2013). Together, these and other shale gas resources have provided a significant energy resource. For example, the Marcellus Shale contains >84 trillion ft³ of natural gas which would be sufficient to meet the energy needs of the US for a 2-4 year period (Coleman et al. 2011). However, in areas where UNGDO have occurred there have been incidents of water contamination (Jackson RB et al. 2013), worker exposure to levels of silica dust that exceed the OSHA standards (Esswein et al. 2013), and reports of health impacts among community

residents (Bamberger and Oswald 2012; McKenzie et al. 2014). Because of these issues some states e.g. NY have a moratorium on UNGDO while other state legislatures have considered passing strict regulations on the industry (Pless 2011).

In addition, the need for crystalline silica (frac sand) used in the hydraulic fracturing process has expanded mining operations in the upper Mississippi watershed (Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Iowa) and has become a contentious issue in communities due to environmental degradation, lost income from tourism, and risk to respiratory health (State of Wisconsin, 2012).

Based on the level of drilling activity in the Marcellus Shale the Center of Excellence in Environmental Toxicology (CEET), an Environmental Health Sciences Core Center (EHSCC) at the University of Pennsylvania, felt an obligation to address the public health impact of UNGDO on PA citizens. CEET recognized that UNGDO will be part of the energy landscape of the future but that credible science is needed to determine its safety so that there can be evidence-based decision making. CEET realized that the environmental health concerns related to UNGDO could best be addressed by scientists with complementary expertise working together. Concurrently, several Community Outreach and Engagement Cores (COECs) of the EHSCCs identified the growing concerns of citizens and the lack of health-related information. This led to the formation of the Inter-EHSCC working group (see Appendix 1). PubMed citations using the search term "hydraulic fracturing" identified 111 citations during the writing of this article. Only a handful were peer reviewed studies on environmental health and many are cited in this article. In addition, reports by government and health agencies, non-profits and reports from the gas and oil industry were considered. This lead to the unanimous recommendations discussed below.

Discussion

Recommendations for research on water contamination

surface water during UNGDO. Surface water has the potential to be contaminated by leakage from waste-water impoundments, by incidents during the transport of waste-water and inappropriate discharge from waste-water treatment plants (US-EPA 2011a; Warner et al. 2013). Waste-water consists of the initial flow-back water and the produced-water, which itself is a mixture of spent hydraulic fracturing chemicals as well as contaminants including: total dissolved solids (TDS) that exceed levels found in sea water; aromatic hydrocarbons; heavy metals; and naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) that may leach from the shale (Ferrar et al. 2013b; International Association of Oil & Gas Producers, 2002; Rowan et al. 2011). In Pavillion, Wyoming in 2009, the US-EPA found evidence of groundwater contaminated with benzene, xylenes, gasoline range organics, diesel range organics, and total volatile hydrocarbons in shallow wells that lie above 169 gas-producing wells that were hydrofractured. The pollution was attributed to the thirty-three nearby surface pits used to store drilling waste water (Jackson RE et al. 2013; US-EPA 2011b). The USGS re-sampled the area and confirmed these findings (Wright et al. 2012). However, there were still disputes about whether UNGDO were the source of groundwater contamination because of the lack of baseline water quality measurements (American Petroleum Institute 2012). Thus base-line ground water quality data should be taken before drilling begins and be monitored over the life-time and abandonment of the gasproducing well.

Groundwater could become polluted due to casement failures and infiltration from soil and

Lack of detailed information about the chemicals injected into the shale formations and the composition of the flow-back water makes it difficult to determine whether water quality is

affected. A complete inventory of chemical usage, which can exceed >80 additives (Stringfellow et al. 2014) is currently unavailable. The FracFocus web-site (http://fracfocus.org/), a voluntary data-base of chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing fluid (HF) established by the industry, provides necessary data to map chemical usage by some wells but not all. This represents the first step in determining whether water quality may be affected on a well-by-well basis. Unfortunately, many of the chemicals in use are proprietary and the flow-back and produced water can also contain other contaminants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and NORM. In the Marcellus formation the level of radioactivity in the produced water was many times higher than allowable for discharge to the environment (Rowan et al. 2011). To determine whether UNDGO affects water quality full disclosure of the chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing process must take place so that they can be correlated with measurements of ground and surface water pollutants. The composition of the HF and the produced water must also be analyzed for hazard identification.

There is a need for sensitive and specific early warning indicators that the ground water has been contaminated. Such indicators would allow researchers and site managers to predict whether UNGDO impacts water quality. Suitable indicators would be chemicals derived from UNGDO that have fast rates of transport, and can be detected easily in field settings. Candidate indicators are methane, ethane, propane, chloride, the sodium to chloride ratio, and the chloride to bromide ratio. Jackson RB et al. 2013 reported that concentrations of methane, ethane and propane in the Marcellus region of PA were higher in homes located < 1 km from drilling sites than in homes farther away. Distance to gas wells was found to be a significant determinant of hydrocarbons in drinking water. However, in some private wells, levels of methane in the drinking water were elevated prior to fracturing (Vidic et al. 2013; Warner et al. 2012); thus methane levels may not

be the best indicator. An increase in the ratio of ethane to methane, propane to methane, and chloride to other major anions (e.g. nitrate) could be used as warning indicators of ground water contamination. Alternatively, a unique inert tracer could be added to the HF. *The Inter-EHSCC working group recommends that a validated specific and sensitive indicator of early ground water contamination be identified and universally adopted.*

Knowledge of the fate and transport of pollutants and ground water hydrology under the influence of pressure changes during and after hydraulic fracturing is required to determine whether pollutants can migrate to private or public drinking wells, to identify early warning indicators, and to estimate the transit time of target pollutants and identify suitable remediation strategies. Interaction between the pollutant and particle phase determines the speed of pollutant transport and whether the pollutants can reach drinking-water wells. Groundwater moves slowly, typically in the range of meters per year, depending on characteristics of the aquifer and hydraulic gradients (USGS circular 1186, 2013). Pollutants that can travel to wells within the span of years are those that are persistent, have high solubility and are less-particle reactive. Pollution of surface water (e.g., spills of HF and discharge from waste-water plants) would move faster (in meters per second) and can be affected by reactions between pollutants and the particle phase (USGS, 2007). Research should be performed to elucidate the fate and transport of ground and surface water pollutants under hydraulic fracturing conditions.

Assessment of effluent contaminants from waste-water treatment plants discharging Marcellus Shale waste in PA showed that barium, strontium, bromides and chlorides, and TDS exceeded the maximum contaminant level for drinking water (Ferrar et al., 2013b). In 2011, Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PA-DEP) requested that drilling companies stop disposing waste-water by this method at 15 facilities (PA-DEP 2011). These findings suggest

that municipal waste-water treatment plants are unable to deal with contaminants from the produced-water and that water quality from these plants needs to be monitored if these plants are to be used for this purpose (Ferrar et al. 2013b). The Inter-EHSCC working group recommends that the effluent from a range of waste-water treatment plant technologies be assessed to determine the effectiveness of the technology.

There is a lack of knowledge of the toxicological properties of the hydraulic fracturing chemicals alone or in complex mixtures. However, the proprietary nature of these chemicals indicates that this may never be known. Knowledge of the chemical additives would enable risk characterization i.e., the identification of no-observed adverse effect levels (NOAEL's), lowest observed adverse effect levels (LOAEL's) for each chemical and reference doses that must be exceeded in order to cause harm in humans. However, because the chemicals are used in a complex mixture, toxicological studies will be required on the mixture itself. The mixture will also have to be fractionated to determine which chemicals or group of chemicals are the most harmful. In this approach compounds can be grouped by chemical similarity or similarity in toxicological effects (European Commission Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety 2011; World Health Organization 2011). Sub-fractions could be triaged using high throughput cellbased screens for genotoxicity, mutagenicity, cytotoxicity and endocrine disrupting properties. Components identified for further study could then be used in acute, intermediate and chronic exposure studies in rodents to identify toxic end-points. Fundamental research on the toxicology of the individual constituents of HF and the resultant complex mixture should thus be performed.

Recommendations for research on air pollution

Hazardous air pollutants related to UNGDO include: silica dust from sand-mining, handling, transport and disposition (Esswein et. al. 2013); diesel emissions from delivery trucks,

compressor stations, power generators, and drill-rigs (Benbrahim-Talla et al. 2012); VOCs in the flow-back and produced water and their reaction with NOx to increase ground level ozone (Kemball-Cook et. al. 2010); and fugitive gas emissions during the production phase and from well ruptures (Allen et al. 2013). Increased local and regional ambient air pollution has been associated with intensive gas extraction regions (Eaton, 2013; Kargbo et al. 2010; Petron et al. 2012). However, the spatial and temporal release of these pollutants is not well-characterized and will depend on the intensity of the various sources (emission rates) and their locations (e.g., frac sand mines, frac sand transfer stations and truck transport routes to and from the well pad; and the proximity of well pads, produced water containment ponds and waste impoundments to each other and affected communities) and need to be addressed. *Ambient and occupational air-quality should thus be measured at active drilling sites and be compared with base-line measurements in adjacent areas without UNGDO*.

PM_{2.5} in diesel exhaust (from > 2,200 trucks per drill head) can exacerbate respiratory illness and chronic diesel exhaust exposure may increase the risk of lung cancer (Benbrahim-Tallaa et al. 2012). Lung cancer risk was assessed on diesel exhaust emissions that pre-date the 2007 new emission standards. It is unknown how many of the diesel emissions associated with UNGDO meets these new standards, and this should be determined. Diesel pollutants could be related to truck traffic patterns using GIS modeling in order to identify local hot spots and regional impacts that could be mitigated. *The impact of diesel emissions on local air quality should be determined*.

Airborne emissions containing ambient pollutants from UNGDO may impact indoor residential air quality when they penetrate indoor environments. Data on indoor as well as outdoor UNGDO-related pollutant concentrations are thus needed. Residential air quality for people living adjacent to frac sand transfer stations, or those living adjacent to truck transport routes

should be compared to those living away from such sources so that base-line data are available.

Residential indoor air quality data for homes potentially impacted by UNGDOs should be compared with those homes not impacted.

Coal-fired power plants can emit green-house gases, CO₂, as well as SO_x, NO_x, products of incomplete combustion such as PAH, mercury and trace metals. (US-EPA-Clean Energy Coal; http://www.epa.gov/cleanenergy/energy-and-you/affect/coal.html). However, few studies exist to compare levels of air-pollution produced by these plants versus what is produced by a field of natural gas wells. Only when these measurements are made will it be possible to evaluate the potential health risks and benefits of UNDGO compared to the use of coal. *The impact of UNGDO on air pollution should be compared to emissions produced by coal-fired power plants*.

Recommendations for epidemiologic research

Prospective longitudinal epidemiological studies to measure the association between health effects with proximity to UNGDO can only be conducted if the health end-point is known. Health outcomes/utilization data from national and local databases to associate illness and health care encounters with proximity to UNGDO would be a starting point. The working group recognized that baseline data in control communities by census block in which UNGDO is not occurring is key to identifying differences that could become end-points in a prospective epidemiologic study. Using health outcomes data an association between well density and proximity of natural gas wells within a 10-mile radius of maternal residence with prevalence of congenital heart defects in new-borns was observed (Mckenzie et al. 2014). Epidemiologic studies should also include environmental sampling and/or biomonitoring of exposures to demonstrate that there is a dose or exposure dependent association with the end-point(s) being

measured. Studies should include occupational exposure and vulnerable populations e.g., pregnant women, children the elderly and asthmatics.

An epidemiologic study linking water pollution from UNGDO to health effects is problematic since the contaminants are not fully known and because of the variability of drinking water sources, pre-existing water quality, chemicals used, temporal relationships, and underlying hydrology. Exposure assessment would require measurement of water quality in communities in which UNGDO is occurring and in adjacent communities where there is no activity to obtain base-line data. Water should be analyzed for suspected contaminants. Biomarkers of exposure to water contamination could rely on measuring blood levels of heavy metals e.g., lead, and biomarkers of VOC's e.g., benzene metabolites. These will be short-lived but measurement of longer-lived biomarkers e.g. serum albumin-benzoquinone adducts is an alternative (Rappaport, et al. 2011). To support a causal relationship between water pollution and health effects a plausible mode-of -action would need to be identified. *An environmental epidemiology study should be performed to determine whether an association exists between health outcomes data and water-quality in private drinking wells in communities with and without hydraulic fracturing*.

An epidemiologic study linking air pollution to health effects is less problematic than those related to water pollution since the air pollutants are known and disease end points are recognized. Recent studies by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) have documented excessive crystalline silica exposures at UNGDO sites (Esswein et al. 2013). In addition, McKenzie et al. (2012) estimated that the increased exposures to airborne hydrocarbons in Garfield County, CO results in a small increased cumulative cancer risk of 10 new cases in one million individuals living within a 0.5 mile of gas-producing wells. Short-,

intermediate- and long-term exposures of workers and residents to air pollutants resulting from UNDGO and exacerbation of underlying respiratory illness (e.g., asthma and COPD) and cardiovascular disease (e.g., ischemic heart disease, dysrhythmias, heart failure, and cardiac arrest) may be more sensitive indices of adverse health effects than cancer incidence (Pope et al. 2004). An environmental epidemiological study should be performed to determine whether air pollution associated with UNDGO increases the incidence of respiratory illness and cardiovascular disease.

Recommendations on integrating community perspectives in environmental health research

Health impacts and stressors are perceived to exist in communities with UNGDO (Bamberger and Oswald 2012, Ferrar et al. 2013a). Given that elements of a property owner's control may cease once UNGDO begins, these perceptions are consistent with an involuntary risk model, based on a lack of control of an unknown hazard with little opportunity for independent verification of safety (Sjoberg 2000; Slovic 1987;). UNGDO also raises similar issues for impacted communities as other industrial operations in early stages of development: limited data on health indicators and health impacts make it difficult to identify and track health effects, and the latency of effects. Limited to no baseline or monitoring data makes it challenging to track environmental health impacts over time.

Community-based participatory research (CBPR) provides a framework for engaging community members in research and has been effectively applied to a number of environmental health problems (Minkler et al. 2002; O'Fallon and Dearry, 2002). CBPR goes beyond just sharing research results with community members to creating meaningful opportunities for community participation in all stages of research (i.e., project scoping, data collection, analysis and

dissemination). CBPR principles should be embraced in designing and conducting studies on environmental and health impacts of UNGDO so that a range of community perspectives are addressed. All stakeholders (individual/community/industry/advocacy groups/decision makers) should be engaged early to foster multi-directional communication and accountability.

CBPR requires that study results are communicated in a timely manner to the communities (Chen et al. 2010). A "Community First Communication Model", which shares research findings with the affected community before publishing them in scientific literature, to empower the community by reducing information disparities, is recommended (Emmett et al. 2009). Communities should be engaged in determining the most effective ways to disseminate research findings and there should be timely and transparent dissemination and access to aggregated data.

Because the potential exists for lower income communities to bear a greater burden of any negative outcomes of UNGDO, it is important to engage those whose health and environment may be disproportionately impacted by this activity (Adams, 2012). *Thus health disparities due to UNGDO should be addressed in the design of human studies*.

Impacted communities demand transparency in the research process, especially with respect to who is funding the research. This in part stems from mistrust of industry and efforts to limit access to either information on chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing or on-site environmental testing results (Golden Rules Report 2012). *The sources of funding for research on the environmental health impacts of UNGDO need to be openly disclosed.*

In two small, rural communities in Pennsylvania and New York, Brasier et al. (2011) reported that the infrastructure and social services were overwhelmed by the onset of UNGDO and the

concomitant population influx. In addition, in a review of medical issues related to UNGDO Saberi (2013) described barriers faced by family physicians, which often are unable to counsel their patients about the effects of environmental exposures related to hydraulic fracturing, due to limited training in occupational and environmental medicine. *The impact on public health and healthcare services of rapid industrialization and training needs of providers should be evaluated.*

Communities have identified a need to understand the regulations that govern UNGDO. Only six states allow health care providers access to proprietary chemical constituents, and four of the six require the health care provider to sign a confidentiality agreement restricting disclosure to others (McFeeley 2012). Denying health care providers access to chemical information for patient care purposes is unprecedented, as is restricting disclosure to individuals who are exposed. Research should be conducted on how existing regulations impact reporting of environmental health consequences of UNGDO to enable the development of more health-protective regulations.

Risk perceptions encompass cognitive evaluations of the likelihood of harm as well as emotional responses. Risks that are most feared are those that are unknown, experienced involuntarily, potentially catastrophic, and risky for future generations, all factors which are in play with UNGDO (Sjoberg 2000; Slovic 1987). Having an understanding of the nature of community perceptions on UNGDO will inform risk communication and risk management. It will also identify whether credible sources of information are being used to set view points and will identify critical information gaps. *Research should be conducted on risk perception, including the impacts on community polarization*.

Conclusions

The research recommendations of the inter-EHSCC working group are similar to those proposed by others (Union of Concerned Scientists 2013; Goldstein et al., 2014; Shonkoff et al 2014) with one significant difference in that we advocate for an CBPR approach in communities affected by UNGDO. Implementation of these recommendations would inform the debate on the potential environmental health impacts of UNGDO and lead to decisions by individuals, communities, agencies and industry that would protect human health. Implementation requires dedicated funding sources that are insulated from conflict-of-interest so that the science generated is trustworthy. Funding by federal agencies with research being conducted at academic institutions is one trusted model. Oversight by a single organization would avoid duplication of effort and unnecessary expenditure of resources. There should be harmonization of study designs, data collection and analytical procedures, which may require a data coordination center that could also assess data quality and missing data There should also be a publically available datarepository so that all stakeholders can access data including industry and communities, and appropriate firewalls and limited access should be in place when it comes to patient or population based health data. Implementation of these recommendations would permit a risk assessment of UNDGO, enabling decision makers to identify and reduce the most serious environmental health threats.

References

- Adams E. 2012 Environmental justice: where are the fracking sites? The Gettysburg Economic Review 6: 1-90. Available: http://pop.gettysburgcollegebullets.biz/dotAsset/1a55eb92-bbe6-41bb-a6dd-c2902b48fa9f.pdf#page=6 [Accessed: 07-03-14].
- Allen DT, Torres VM, Thomas J, Sullivan DW, Harrison M, Hendler A, et. al. 2013.

 Measurements of methane emissions at natural gas production sites in the United States.

 Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 110:17768-1773.
- American Petroleum Institute 2014. Hydraulic fracturing unlocking Americas natural gas resources. Available: http://www.api.org/oil-and-natural-gas-overview/exploration-and-production/hydraulic-fracturing/~/media/Files/Oil-and-Natural-Gas/Hydraulic-Fracturing-primer/Hydraulic-Fracturing-Primer-2014-highres.pdf [Accessed: 07-03-14].
- American Petroleum Institute 2012. API's review shows EPA's monitoring wells at Pavillion, Wyoming are improperly constructed and unsuitable for groundwater quality assessment. Available: http://www.api.org/~/media/Files/Policy/Hydraulic_Fracturing/Pavillion-reviews/API-Fact-Sheet-on-Pavillion-Monitoring-Wells-2013.pdf [Accessed: 07-03-14].
- Bamberger M and Oswald R. 2012. Impact of gas drilling on human and animal health. New Solutions 221: 51-77.
- Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Baan RA, Grosse Y, Lauby-Secretan B, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, et al. 2012. Carcinogenicity of diesel-engine and gasoline-engine exhausts and some nitroarenes. Lancet Oncol 13: 663-4.
- Brasier K, Filteau M, McLaughlin D, Stedman R, Jacquet J, Kelsey T, et al. 2011. Resident's perceptions of community and environmental impacts from development of natural gas in the Marcellus shale: a comparison of Pennsylvania and New York case studies. J Rural Social Sci 26: 32-61.
- Chen PG, Diaz N, Lucas G, Rosenthal MS. 2010. Dissemination of Results in Community-Based Participatory Research. Amer J Prev Med 39: 372-378
- Coleman JL, Millici RC, Crook TA, Charpenter RR, Kirschbaum M, Klett TA, et al. 2011

 Assessment of undiscovered oil and gas resources of the Devonian Marcellus Shale of the Appalachian Basin Province 2011-3092 United States Geological Surevy Available:

 http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2011/3092/pdf/fs2011-3092.pdf [Accessed July 3 2014].

- Eaton TT. 2013. Science-based decision-making on complex issues: Marcellus shale gas hydrofracking and New York City water supply. Sci Total Environ 461–462:158–169.
- Emmett EA, Zhang H, Shofer FS, Rodway NV, Desai C, Freman D, et al. 2009. Development and successful application of a "Community-First" communication model for community-based environmental health research. J Occup Environ Med 51: 146-156.
- Esswein EJ, Breienstein M, Snawder J, Kiefre M, Siber WK. 2013. Occupational exposures to respirable crystalline silica during hydraulic fracturing. J Occup Environ Hyg 10: 347-356
- European Commission Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety. 2011. Toxicity and
 Assessment of Chemical Mixtures. pp. 1-45. Available:
 http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/environmental_risks/docs/scher_o_150.pdf
 [Accessed: July 3 2014]
- Ferrar KJ, Kriesky J, Christen CL, Marshall LP, Malone SL, Sharma RK, et al. 2013a. Assessment and longitudinal analysis of health impacts and stressors perceived to result from unconventional shale gas development in the Marcellus Shale region. Int J Occup Environ Health 19: 104-112.
- Ferrar KJ, Michanowicz DR, Christen CL, Mulchay N, Malone SL, Sharma RK. 2013b.

 Assessment of effluent contaminants from three facilities discharging Marcellus Shale wastewater to surface waters in Pennsylvania. Environ Sci Tech. 47: 3472-3481
- Global Insight, 2011. The economics and employment contributions of shale gas in the United States. Prepared by America's Natural Gas Alliance. Available:

 http://www.ihs.com/pdfs/Shale_Gas_Economic_Impact_mar2012.pdf [Accessed July 3 2104].
- Golden Rules Report. 2012 International Energy Agency. Available:

 http://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/WEO2012_GoldenRulesReport.pdf
 [Accessed: July 3, 2014].
- Goldstein BD, Brooks BW, Cohen SD, Gates AE, Honeycutt ME, Morris JB, et al. 2014. The role of toxicological science in meeting the challenges and opportunities of hydraulic fracturing. Toxicol Sci 139: 271-283
- International Association of Oil and Gas Producers 2002. Aromatics in produced water: occurrence fate, effects and treatment. Report No. 1. 20/234 Available: http://www.ogp.org.uk/pubs/324.pdf [Accessed: Juy 3, 2014]

- Jackson RB, Vengosh A, Darrah TH, Warner NR, Down A, Poreda RJ, et al. 2013. Increased stray gas abundance in a subset of drinking water wells near Marcellus shale gas extraction. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 110: 11250-11255.
- Jackson RE, Gorody AW, Mayer B, Roy JW, Ryan MC, Van Stempvoort DR. 2013.

 Groundwater protection and unconventional gas extraction: The critical need for field-based hydrogeological research. Groundwater 51: 488-510.
- Kargbo DM, Wilhelm RG, Campbell DJ. 2010. Natural gas plays in the Marcellus Shale: challenges and potential opportunities. Environ Sci Tech 44: 5679-8420.
- Kemball-Cook S, Bar-Ilan A, Grant J, Parker L, Jung J, Santamaria W, Mathews J, Yarwood G. 2010. Ozone impacts of natural gas development in the Haynesville shale. Environ Sci Techn 44: 9357-9363
- McFeeley M. 2012. State hydraulic fracturing disclosure rules and enforcement: comparison. National Resources Defense Council (NRDC) Issue Brief, July, 1B:12-06A. Available: http://www.nrdc.org/energy/files/Fracking-Disclosure-IB.pdf [Accessed July 3 2014]
- McKenzie LM, Guo R, Witter RZ, Savitz DA, Newman LS, Adgate JL. 2014. Birth outcomes and maternal residential proximity to natural gas development in rural Colorado. Environ Health Perspect 122: 412-417.
- McKenzie LM, Witter, RZ, Newman LS, Adgate JL. 2012. Human health risk assessment of air emissions from development of unconventional natural gas resources. Sci Total Environ 424: 79-87.
- Minkler M, Vasquez, VB, Warner, JR, Steussey H, Facente S. 2002. Sowing the seeds for sustainable change: a community-based participatory research partnership for health promotion in Indiana, USA and its aftermath. Health Promotion Inter 21: 293-300
- O'Fallon L and Dearry A. 2002. Community-based participatory research as a tool to advance environmental health sciences. Environ Health Perspect 110(Suppl 2): 155–159.
- PA-DEP (Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection) 2011. Available: http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/newsroom/14287?id=%2017071% 20&typeid=1 [Accessed July 3 2014].
- Petron G, Frost G, Miller BR, Hirsch AI, Montzka SA, Karion A, et al. 2012. Hydrocarbon emissions characterization in the Colorado front range: a pilot study J Geophysical Res 117: D04304.

- Physicians for Social Responsibility: Position Statement on Hydraulic Fracturing, March 30, 2012. Available: http://www.psr.org/resources/psr-position-statement-on-hydraulic-fracturing.html [Accessed July 3 2014]
- Pless, J. 2011. Fracking Update: What States Are Doing to Ensure Safe Natural Gas Extraction?

 National Conference of State Legislators. Available:

 http://www.ncsl.org/research/energy/fracking-update-what-states-are-doing.aspx [Accessed July 3 2014]
- Pope CA 3rd, Burnett RT, Thurston GD, Thun MJ, Calle EE, Krewski, D et al. 2004.

 Cardiovascular mortality and long-term exposure to particulate air pollution:

 epidemiological evidence of general pathophysiological pathways of disease. Circulation 109: 71-77
- Rappaport SM, Li H, Grigoryan H, Funk WE, Williams ER. 2012. Adductomics: characterizing exposures to reactive electrophiles Toxicol Lett 213:83-90.
- Rowan EE, Engle MA, Kirby C, Kraemer T. 2011. Radium content of oil- and gas-field produced waters in the Northern Appalachian basin (USA)-Summary and discussion of data; U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2011-5135. Available: http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2011/5135/pdf/sir2011-5135.pdf [Accessed: July 3 2014]
- Saberi P. 2013. Navigating medical issues in shale territory. New Solutions 23: 209-221.
- Shonkoff SB, Hays J, Finkel ML. 2014. Environmental public health dimensions of shale and tight gas development. Environ Health Perspect [Online 16 April 2014]
- Sjoberg L. 2000. Factors in risk perception. Risk Analysis 20:1-12.
- Slovic P. 1987. Perceptions of risk. Science 236: 280-286. State of Wisconsin. Wisconsin Department of Natural Reeosurce, 2012 Available:

 http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Mines/documents/SilicaSandMiningFinal.pdf [Accessed July 3, 2014].
- Stringfellow WT, Domen JK, Camarillo MK, Sandelin WL, Borglin S. 2014. Physical, chemical and biological characteritsics of compounds used in hydraulic fracturing. J Hazard Mat 275C: 35-54. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.04.040. [Epub ahead of print]

- Union of Concerned Scientists. 2013. Towards an evidence-based fracking debate. Science,
 Democracy, and Community Right to Know in Unconventional Oil and Gas Development.
 Available: http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/center-for-science-and-democracy/fracking-report-full.pdf [Accessed: July 3 2014]
- US-EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) 2011a. Draft plan to study the potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources. pp. 1-126._Available: http://fracfocus.org/sites/default/files/publications/hfstudyplandraft_sab_020711.pdf [Accessed: July 3 2014]
- US-EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency) 2011b. Investigation of ground water contamination near Pavillion, Wyoming. Available:

 http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/documents/

 EPA_ReportOnPavillion_Dec-8-2011.pdf [Accessed July 3, 2014]
- USGS (United States Geological Survey). 2007. Science in your watershed general introduction and hydrologic definitions. Available: http://water.usgs.gov/wsc/glossary.html [Accessed: July 3 2014]
- USGS (United States Geological Survey) Circular 1186 ,2013. Sustainability of Ground Water Resources._Available: http://pubs.usgs.gov/circ/circ1186/html/gen_facts.html [Accessed: July 3 2014]
- USGS (United States Geological Survey) National Assessment of Oil and Gas Resources Team, and Biewick, L.R.H., compiler), 2013, Map of assessed shale gas in the United States, 2012: U.S. Geological Survey Digital Data Series 69–Z, 16 p., 1 pl.
- Vidic RD, Brantley SL, Vanderbossche JM, Yoxtheimer D, Abad JD. 2013. Impact of shale gas development on regional water quality. Science 340:1235009. doi: 10.1126/science.1235009. Review.
- Warner N R, Christie, C A, Jackson, R B, Vengosh A. 2013. Impacts of shale gas wastewater disposal on water quality in western Pennsylvania. Environ Sci Techn. 47:11849-11857.
- Warner NR, Jackson RB, Darrah TH, Osborn SG, Down A, Zhao KG, et al. 2012 Geochemical evidence for possible natural migration of Marcellus formation brine to shallow aquifers in Pennsylvania. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 109: 11961-11966.
- World Health Organization 2011. Risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals: A WHO/IPCS framework. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol 60: S1-S14.

Wright PK, McMahon PB, Mueller DK, Clark ML. 2012. Goundwater-quality and quality control data for two monitoring wells near Pavillion, Wyoming, April and May 2012. United States Geological Survey (USGS) Summary Data Series 718: 23p. Available at: http://pubs.usgs.gov/ds/718/DS718_508.pdf [Accessed July 3 ,2014]

Appendix 1. Inter-EHSCC Working Group Members.

Name	Affiliation
Working Group Members	
Regina Santella, PhD	Columbia Mailman School of Public Health
Steven Chillrud, PhD	
Beizhan Yan, PhD	
Douglas Dockery, PhD	Harvard School of Public Health
Ann Backus, MS	
John Groopman, PhD	Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Patrick Breysse, PhD	
David Johnson, MD	MD-Anderson Cancer Center
Max Costa, PhD	New York University
Joseph Beckman, PhD	Oregon State University
Kim Anderson, PhD	
Helmut Zarbl, PhD	Rutgers University
Suk-mei Ho, PhD	University of Cincinnati
Erin Hayes, DPh	
Peter Thorne, PhD	University of Iowa
David Osterberg, PhD	
David Petering, PhD	University of Wisconsin - Milwaukee
Jeanne Hewitt, PhD, RN	
James Swenberg, PhD	University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
Kathleen Gray, MSPH	
Trevor M. Penning, PhD	University of Pennsylvania
George Gerton, PhD	
Marilyn Howarth, MD	
Rey Panettieri, MD	
Poune Saberi, MD	III : CD 1
Tom Gasiewicz, PhD	University of Rochester
Katrina Korfmacher, PhD	
David Rich, ScD, MPH Frank Gilliland, PhD	University of Southern California
Andrea Hricko, MPH	Oniversity of Southern Camornia
Cornelius Elferink, PhD	University of Texas Medical Branch
Sharon Petronella, MS, PhD	omversity of Texas Medical Dianen
John Sullivan	
Terry Kavanaugh, PhD	University of Washington
Affiliate Member	
Lias Mckenzie, PhD, MPH	University of Colorado School of Public Health
Ex-Offico Members	
Leslie Reinlib, PhD	Program Director, NIEHS
Liam O'Fallon, MA	Program Analyst, NIEHS
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·